Interview Summary

Application No. 09/844,935 Applicant(s)

Muniskin

Examiner

Art Unit



	Arun Chakrabarti	1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)		_
(2) Steve Gaudet			
Date of Interview Jun 19, 2003	_		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes			
Claim(s) discussed: All			
Identification of prior art discussed: All			
motioned that the charea is nothing the charea is not recited in the claim Applicant would submit an RCE. Applicant's ame favoreably although further necessary.	nature of what was agreed to if novelty of their euce or absence but brootein. E catero's his feature t the amendment udment will be consideration	an agreement	tion which taroget po invention on 9 with late & search is
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agree copy of the amendments that wo	d would rend uld render the	er the claims claims allowable is
i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FI	the last Offic	e action has MENT OF THE
	1	1	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required